Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/543,125	HANNIGAN, BRETT T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	•
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	
(1) <u>Daniel A. Hess</u> .	(3)		
(2) William Conwell.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 April 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		•
Part I.	•		
Rejection(s) discussed: 3-7, 10, 13-21, 23, 33 and 34			•
Claims discussed: 3-7, 10, 13-21, 23, 33 and 34			
Prior art documents discussed: Kurowski (US 6,553,127), Katoh et al. (US 5,206,491)			
Part II.		·.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sum 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	written summary of the of the interview, since t	e substance
DA (
4/13/06	•		•
			· · ·
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Following a pre-appeal conference, the Examiner indicated that some of the claims that had previously been indicated as rejected could be allowable if restated in Independent form. Other claims would remain rejected. The Applicant agreed to make certain changes, and cancel claims that would remain rejected.